

REMARKS

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed on June 16, 2008, please enter the following:

I. Amendments to the claims

The Notice of Allowance included an Examiner's Amendment, which amended claims 14, 15, 17, 22, and 23. Upon further review of the text of the claims in the Examiner's Amendment, several typographical errors introduced by the Examiner's Amendment were identified by the attorney of record (Dennis M. de Guzman). These typographical errors in the Examiner's Amendment include:

- In claim 14: insertion of the term "CCP" instead of --CCF-- in the "automatically comparing" and "receiving a software feature" sub-paragraphs;
- In claim 17: recitation of "loading a said software feature" instead of --loading said software feature--;
- In claim 22: a typographical issue (missing a comma and capitalization) in the preamble, which recites "A system Comprising" instead of --A system, comprising--; and
- In claim 22: recitation of "determine from the CCF" instead of --determines from the CCF--.

Accordingly, claims 14, 17, and 22 are amended as shown to address these typographical errors. The listing of claims as presented herewith will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. Accordingly, should there be any additional errors in the text of the claims as presented in the Examiner's Amendment, the present listing of claims provides the appropriate claim language.

Since these amendments under Rule 312 embody merely corrections of formal matters (grammatical and typographical in nature), entry of these amendments is respectfully requested.

II. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Page 6 (section 5) of the Notice of Allowance provided the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance. However, it is noted herein that the language used in the Statement attempts to only partially quote the language from the claims. Moreover, the Statement did not quote or otherwise explain the allowability of the dependent claims.

It is therefore stated herein for the record that the independent claims are allowable based on the recitations contained in each and every independent claim. Thus, to the extent that there are differences between the language actually recited in the respective independent claims, versus the language used in the Statement, it is the language actually recited in the respective independent claims that determines the scopes thereof.

Moreover, it is also stated herein for the record that the dependent claims are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon allowable base claims, and further by virtue of the recitations contained in each and every dependent claim.

Still further, it is stated herein for the record that the claims are allowable over all of the references on record, and not just over the Chen reference specifically identified in the Statement.

Accordingly, the scopes of the claims are not to be limited by the Statement.

III. Conclusion

Favorable consideration and entry of this Amendment are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

/Dennis M. de Guzman/

Dennis M. de Guzman

Registration No. 41,702

DMD:wt
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5400
Seattle, Washington 98104
Phone: (206) 622-4900
Fax: (206) 682-6031
1235946_1.DOC